

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 7948		
09/729,733	12/04/2000	Kevin Kang-Yue Chiang	SS-709-05			
75	90 09/30/2004	EXAMINER				
LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS E. SCHATZEL			HERNANDEZ, OLGA			
A Professional (16400 Lark Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
Los Gatos, CA			2144	2		
			DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004	, 3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

•	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	.]	de					
	09/729,73	33	CHIANG, KEVIN	KANG-YUE	V					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
	Olga Her		2144							
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	-					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 1	l. 1.136(a). In no every ply within the stat d will apply and w ute, cause the app ing date of this co	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE mmunication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
,	/ 									
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from co									
<u> </u>										
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 04 December 2000 is/Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected. 	/are: a)⊠ a e drawing(s) b ection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119										
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have beents have bee fority docume au (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/729,733

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Amplify.net Solutions for DSL Distributed.

As per claims 1 and 5, Amplify.net discloses the use of IP service (page 13), which in a network with workstations and clients need IP addresses in order to receive and send information/data. In addition, Amplify.net discloses CBQ traffic sharper disposed between the local group and the WAN (pages 5, 11-12), and provides for enforcement of a plurality of service-level agreement (SLA) policies on individual connection sessions by limiting a maximum data throughput for each such connection (page 13); a database providing for policy information collection of network-traffic statistics from the CBQ traffic sharper and including a structured query language (SQL) with a create view function (pages 13-16); a super-view table created from said create view function and containing a set of policy and statistical data about a plurality of network clients (pages 13-16); a plurality of dynamic views created from the create view function that join the super-view with a filter table (pages 13-16); and a plurality of filter

Art Unit: 2144

tables dynamically populated by parameters received from the plurality of network clients, and fill the dynamic views with selected components copied from the super-view table (pages 13-16).

As per claims 2 and 6, Amplify.net discloses CBQ traffic sharper configured such that SLA policies are attached to each and every local IP-address, and any connection combinations with outside IP addresses are ignored (page 11).

As per claims 3 and 7, Amplify.net discloses CBQ traffic sharper configured such that SLA policies are such that any policy-conflicts between local IP-address transfers are resolved with a lower-speed one of said conflicting policies taking precedence (page 11).

As per claims 4 and 8, Amplify.net discloses CBQ traffic sharper configured such that SLA policies are dynamically attached and readjusted to allow any particular on-demand content delivery to the local IP-addresses (page 11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,733

Art Unit: 2144

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Olga Hernandez Examiner

Art Unit 2144